

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,081	04/12/2004	Craig M. Sanborn	SANBP001US	1695	
27949 7590 07/27/2007 LAW OFFICE OF JAY R. YABLON 910 NORTHUMBERLAND DRIVE SCHENECTADY, NY 12309-2814			EXAMINER		
			BERGIN, JAMES S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3641		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			. 07/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/709,081		SANBORN, CRAIG M.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		James S. B	ergin	3641			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
	Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no even will apply and will c, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ation to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/17/	<u>/2007</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 and 24-107 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-18,21,28-31,33-43,51-65,81-85 and 87-107 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
• —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-16,19,20,22,24-27,32,44-50,66-80 &</u>	and 86 is/are	rejected.				
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election rea	vuirement				
اــا(٥	are subject to restriction and/or		julierierit.				
Applicati	ion Papers		•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er. ·	•				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on $4/12/2004$ is/are: a) \boxtimes a	accepted or	b) ☐ objected to by the contract of the co	ne Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-		d in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/709,081

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- Claims 44-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the 2. specification, while being enabling for a subassembly of the disclosed bullet that comprises the expansion-inducing tip integral with the core material within at least part of the hollow core, the expansion-inducing tip protruding forward of the front of the bullet, does not reasonably provide enablement for a subassembly that does not include the core material integral with the expansion tip, the core material within at least part of the hollow core and being capable of expanding radially outwardly upon impact with a target. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make an expanding projectile commensurate in scope with these claims, namely, an embodiment without the core material in the hollow core, and without such a core material being integral with the expanding tip protruding forward of the bullet, the core being capable of expanding radially outwardly upon impact with a target. Neither the elected embodiment nor the specification disclose an embodiment of the bullet subassembly in which the expanding tip is not integral with a core material or that does not protrude forward of the front of the bullet. If such an embodiment is contemplated, the applicant must now illustrate it in the

Application/Control Number: 10/709,081 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

drawings. The limitation, "a connection", in claim 44 could be interpreted to read on a wire connecting the tip and the pressure shield, such a wire connector not being commensurate in scope with the disclosed invention.

- 3. Claims 66-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an embodiment in which the expansion-inducing tip that is integral with the core and protrudes forward of the front of the bullet, does not reasonably provide enablement for an embodiment in which the expansion-inducing tip does not protrude forward of the front of the bullet. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Without the expansion-inducing tip protruding forward of the front of the bullet, the bullet would not be capable of expanding as intended.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-16, 19, 20, 22, 24-27, 32, 44-50, 66-80 and 86 are, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In **claim 1**, line, line 11, it is unclear whether the limitation, "a connection" refers to the "core material" or to some other connection?

In claim 1, lines 17-18 and claim 44, lines 9, 10, "said connection causes said expansion-inducing tip to remain intact during the bullet's flight to a target" is confusing.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,081

Art Unit: 3641

Does the connection really keep the expansion-inducing tip intact or does it securely hold the tip in place during the bullets flight to a target?

In **claim 66**, it is unclear how the expansion-inducing tip is "integral with a core material" (lines 11, 12), because the claim appears to claim that there is an "expansion tip mating extension" inserted between the front of the hollow core and the expansion-inducing tip?

In claim 80, the dependency is unclear. Currently claim 80 depends upon itself.

- 6. Claims 44-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: the core material within at least a part of the hollow core.
- 7. Claims 44-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the expanding tip being integral with the core material, the core comprising the connection between the expansion-inducing tip and the pressure shield.
- 8. Claims 66-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the expansion-inducing tip protruding forward of the front of the bullet.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1-16, 19, 20, 22, 24-27, 32 and 86 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S. Bergin whose telephone number is 571-272-6872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Wednesday and Friday, 8.30 - 5.30.

Art Unit: 3641

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James S. Bergin Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641

/James Bergin/